



## Project Progress Summary



<b>Section 1: PROJECT IDENTIFICATION</b> Information to be provided for project identification		<b>NOT CONFIDENTIAL</b>
<b>Title of the project</b> Development of Quantitative and Qualitative Molecular Biological Methods to Identify Plant and Animal Species in Foods		
<b>Acronym of the project</b> MolSpec-ID		
<b>Type of contract</b> Shared Cost RTD		<b>Total project cost</b> (in euro) 3 109 579 €
<b>Contract number</b> QLK1-CT-2001-02373	<b>Duration</b> (in months) 36 Months	<b>EU contribution</b> (in euro) 1 395 074 €
<b>Commencement date</b> 1 December 2001		<b>Period covered by the progress report</b> 1 December 2001 – 30 November 2002
<b>PROJECT COORDINATOR</b>		
<b>Name</b> Jutta Zagon	<b>Title</b> Dr.	<b>Address</b> Thielallee 88-92 14195 Berlin
<b>Telephone</b> +49-30-8412-3876	<b>Telefax</b> +49-30-8412-3685	<b>E-mail address</b> j.zagon@bfr.bund.de
<b>Key words</b> (5 maximum - Please include specific keywords that best describe the project). Species, Molecularbiology, Food, Identification, Quantification		
<b>World wide web address</b> (the project's www address ) <a href="http://www.MolSpec.org">www.MolSpec.org</a>		

## List of participants

- 1 BgVV, Dr. Jutta Zagon** (Bundesinstitut für gesundheitlichen Verbraucherschutz und Veterinärmedizin, Postfach 330013, 14191 Berlin, GERMANY)
  - Co-ordination of the project
  - Task-leader of work package 1.4 and 4
  - Technical work in work package 1.3, 1.4, 2.1, 4 and 5
- 2 BAFF, Dr. F. Schwägele** (Bundesanstalt für Fleischforschung, E.-C.-Baumann-Str. 20, 95326 Kulmbach, GERMANY)
  - Task-leader of work package 1.1
  - Technical work in work package 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 3 and 4
- 3 UU-FDG, Dr. J.A. Lenstra** (Institute of Infectious Diseases and Immunology, Utrecht University, Yalelaan 1, 3584 CL Utrecht, THE NETHERLANDS)  
Institute of Infectious Diseases and Immunology - Utrecht University
  - Task-leader of work package 1.3
  - Technical work in work package 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 4
- 4 Eurofins, Dr. Bert Popping** (Eurofins Scientific Ltd, 69A Kilnwick Rd, YO 42 2JY Pocklington Yorkshire, UNITED KINGDOM)
  - Technical work in work package 1.3, 1.4, 2.1 and 4
- 5 VUP, Dr. Tomas Kuchta** (Department of Microbiology & Chemistry - Food Research Institute, Priemysel'ná 4, SK-82475 Bratislava 26, SLOVAKIA)
  - Task-leader of work package 1.2
  - Technical work in work package 1.2, 1.3 and 4
- 6 FRIP, Ing. Jiri Kucera** (Food Research Institute Prague, Radiova 7, Praha 10, THE CZECH REPUBLIC)
  - Technical work in work package 3 and 4
- 7 IMR, Dr. Geir Dahle** (Institute of Marine Research, Department of Aquaculture, Nordnes Gt 50, 5817 Bergen, NORWAY)
  - Technical work in work package 1.3 and 4
- 8 INIA, Dr. Fernando Ponz** (Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria, Departamento de Biotecnología, Autopista A-6 km7, 28040 Madrid, SPAIN)
  - Technical work in work package 1.3, 1.4 and 4
- 9 GeneScan, Dr. Kirsten Kerhoff** (GeneScan Analytics GmbH, Fahrenheitstr. 1, 28359 Bremen, GERMANY)
  - Task-leader of work package 2.1 and 2.4
  - Technical work in work package 1.3, 1.4, 2.1, 2.4 and 4
- 10 BATS, Dr. Othmar Kaeppli** (Biosafety Research and Assessment of Technology Impacts - Swiss Priority Programme Biotechnology, Clarastrasse 13, 4058 Basel, SWITZERLAND)
  - Task-leader of work package 5
  - Technical work in work package 5
- 11 MOLBIOL, Dr. Eduardo Thueroff** (TIB Molbiol s.r.l., Centro Biotecnologie Avanzate, Largo Rosanna Benzi, 10, 16132 Genova, ITALY)
  - Task-leader of work package 2.2 and 2.3
  - Technical work in work package 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 4
- 12 TU Graz, Dr. Peter Remler** (Institute of Food Chemistry and Technology, Graz University of Technology, Petersgasse 12, A-8010 Graz, AUSTRIA)
  - Technical work in work package 1.3, 1.4, 2.1, 3 and 4
- 13 NFA, Dr. Ingrid Malmheden Yman** (National Food Administration, Hamnesplanaden 5, 75126 Uppsala, SWEDEN)
  - Task-leader of work package 3
  - Technical work in work package 1.1, 3 and 4
- 14 Nestec, Dr. Rolf Meyer** (Nestec S.A., Research Center Lausanne, Department Quality & Safety Assurance, Lausanne 26, SWITZERLAND)
  - Technical work in work package 1.4, 2.1 and 4

**Objectives:**

Qualitative and quantitative detection methods for the identification of plant and animal species in food and feed playing a role in fraudulent replacement or as component of particular health risk will be developed and tested with processed materials. For this purpose materials with defined amounts of the respective animal or plant species will be produced. Apart from this, authentic samples will be made available by partners to cover non domestic animals. For the identification of the following plant species qualitative methods will be evaluated: *Leguminosae* (soybean, pea, peanut), at least two species of nuts (walnut and almond) and gluten-containing cereals (wheat, barley, oat, rye). Qualitative methods will be evaluated for the following animal species: beef, pork, lamb, horse, chicken, turkey, duck, crab meat and at least for the following non domestic animal species: deer, ostrich and kangaroo. Quantitative methods will be approached for the identification of the following species: beef, pig, lamb, turkey chicken, duck, horse, soybean. Methods will be based on DNA analysis. One method will be developed based on immunological techniques (antibody production). The subject of investigation in this case will be crab meat in surimi products. This investigation includes a systematic comparison with a PCR based approach. The method development and testing will be accompanied during the initial period of the project by investigations on DNA extraction and the development of appropriate amplification control protocols and systems that will be made available to all partners.

Four selected methods shall be validated in intercomparison studies as a prerequisite for further standardisation by international standardisation committees. The methods will be selected during the ongoing project by partners and co-ordination

1. At least one quantitative method.
2. Two qualitative methods that can optionally be opened for quantitative analysis according to progress in the respective work packages.
3. One protein based approach (qualitative) which in parallel will be accompanied by a PCR-based approach.

All qualitative approaches will include a sensitivity study.

Further objectives of the project are the enhancement of efficiency, throughput, which results in improved cost effective applications. For these purpose multiplex systems - allowing the identification of several species in one and the same approach - shall be developed and used for the establishment of micro array based techniques. In parallel PCR-ELISA system shall be settled using the input of multiplex system development.

Finally a data base will be developed to collect all information about species identification in foodstuffs including information about sequences suited for identification, primer and probes, guidelines for handling processed foodstuffs (DNA extraction), literature, contacts etc. The database shall guarantee a broad dissemination of results.

### **Results and Milestones:**

Until November 2002 differently processed meat and fish products were provided with defined amounts of different species as well as authentic raw materials for qualitative and quantitative analysis. Using these and other materials the efficiency of extraction of nucleic acids (DNA) was evaluated using commercially available KITS or standard procedures. Critical steps as well as optimal isolation methods were identified. Internal standards were developed to monitor and compare DNA-isolation efficiencies, but results have been inconsistent so far.

A broad range of qualitative detection methods have been developed or are in an advanced state for the identification of sheep, goat, diverse bovide and cervide species, pig, chicken, turkey, duck, kangaroo, ostrich, gluten-containing cereals (barley, ray, wheat strains), soybean, walnut, almond and hazelnut. For the latter a special technique (SCAR) had to be used, because only very few sequence data are published, which are necessary for the development of PCR (polymerase chain reaction) based systems.

Methods for the relative quantification of cattle, pig, chicken, duck, turkey and horse in relation to total mammalian and bird DNA (reference system for meat) have been tackled and described.

Performance criteria like specificity, sensitivity and selectivity are available for most of the methods.

Protein based methods have been developed (antibodies against shellfish/crab) or commercially available kits were used and statistically evaluated to be compared with DNA based methods. Work on surimi (fish/crab-meat) started.

Multiplex assays aiming to identify several species in one assay were approached for a) cattle, goat, sheep, b) horse, ostrich, kangaroo, c) turkey, chicken and duck. d) pig and cattle. The systems need further optimisation and validation.

A first conceptual data base structure for the collection of detection methods was worked out. Input of scientific data about the developed methods has started.

### **Benefits and Beneficiaries:**

a) new methods for the analysis of health risk posing species (potential allergens) and fraudulent replacements in food, b) information flow by scientific publication and project's website including public access, c) identification of "critical" samples/procedures and development of approaches to overcome those problems, d) starting point of a new data collection with broad practical applicability in future, e) the development of high throughput detection systems will improve cost effectiveness f) a standard validation protocol facilitating comparison of methods.

### **Future Actions (if applicable):**

It will be considered, if validated methods under the topic "health posing" species could be presented to the CEN/TC 275/WG 12 "Food allergens" to be converted into EU standards.

First data base releases will be tested in autumn/winter 2003 (distribution of a MolSpec-database prototype will take place in October 2003).